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What is sign language?

Natural language exists in two **modalities**. So far we’ve mostly focused on **spoken language**:

- articulators: tongue, lips, teeth, etc.
- signal: linear, acoustic waveform
- perception: auditory system (ears)

Today we will talk about **sign language**:

- articulators: two hands, face
- signal: multidimensional, visual signal
- perception: visual system (eyes)
Let’s burst some myths about sign language.

**Myth 1:** Sign language is mime.

Sign language does exhibit more **iconicity** than spoken language, but as in spoken language, words in sign language are often completely arbitrary.

- E.g., can you tell what the following signs mean?

  - ASL: WHERE
  - LIS: NOT
  - RSL: WHAT
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**Myth 2:** There is only one sign language.

There are many Deaf communities around the world, so there are many sign languages (‘Ethnologue’ currently lists 142: https://www.ethnologue.com/subgroups/sign-language).
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Sign languages aren’t all mutually intelligible.

• E.g., the pic below shows Dr. Peter Hauser (on the right) presenting in ASL at TISLR 11, simultaneously being translated into English, British Sign Language (on the left), and various other sign languages (across the bottom of the stage).
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This is a very persistent myth, though... Shame on you, Airbnb! (Btw, nothing has changed since Jeremy taught this course in 2014.) Good job, Facebook!
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**Myth 3:** Sign languages are signed versions of spoken languages.

Sign languages are influenced by spoken languages they come in contact with, but as we will see, sign languages have their own grammars.

There exist artificial signed systems that rely on the grammar of this or that spoken language to a varied extent (e.g., Signing Exact English, Signed Russian called *калька* ‘calque’ by RSL researchers), but those are not natural languages (remember, natural languages emerge spontaneously).

Moreover, sign and spoken languages don’t even have the same geographical distribution, because they have different histories.

- E.g., ASL (American Sign Language) and BSL (British Sign Language) are different sign languages (they aren’t even in the same family). ASL is descended from LSF (French Sign Language), so an American signer will understand a French signer better than a British signer.
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So, sign languages are:

• natural human languages
• that use the visual-kinetic modality
• and are primary means of communication in Deaf communities

And sign language is the manifestation of the abstract, cognitive phenomenon that language is in the visual-kinetic modality.

We will now take a look at some grammatical patterns observed in sign language and we will see that:

• There is a great deal of similarity between sign and spoken language. So, we can assume the same underlying cognitive system for the two.

• But there are differences, too. So, modality matters! Some modality-specific properties of sign language we’ll talk about:
  • synchronicity
  • use of space
  • iconicity
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Sign language phonetics

Recall the articulatory parameters for spoken language consonants:
• place of articulation
• manner of articulation
• voicing

Here are the articulatory parameters in sign language:
• **handshape**
• **location**
• **movement**
• **orientation**
• **(mouth gestures)**
Sign language phonetics

In spoken language, we can find minimal pairs for each parameter. Here are some from English:

- place of articulation: /pæp/, /tæp/, /kæp/
- manner of articulation: /dɛd/, /nɛd/, /zɛd/
- voicing: /bɪg/, /pɪg/

In sign language, we can also find minimal pairs.
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**Handshape** minimal pairs in ASL:

- CANDY
- APPLE
- TWIN
- RESTAURANT
- ISRAEL
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**Location** minimal pairs in ASL:

- Father
- Mother
- Fine
- Dry
- Ugly
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**Movement** minimal pairs in ASL:

And RSL:
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**Orientation** minimal pairs in ASL:

- STARS
- SOCKS
- NAME
- CHAIR
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Mouth gestures

Sometimes signs are accompanied with mouthing, the production of visual syllables based on spoken language counterparts (typically reduced). The amount of mouthing differs across sign languages, individual signers, and linguistic contexts (e.g., mouthing accompanies nouns much more often than verbs). A signer can produce a lot of mouthing even without knowing the relevant spoken language.
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Some mouth gestures do not correspond to anything in a spoken language. Such gestures tend to be obligatory components of signs.

NOT YET (ASL)

VERY BIG (RSL)
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An example of a minimal pair in RSL that is only distinguished by the mouth gesture parameter:
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There also exist fully non-manual signs in some sign languages.

• E.g., in Yoruba Sign Language:
  • SURPRISE: a wide-open mouth
  • MANY: closing and opening of lips for production of [p] and [o] (based on Yoruba word kpɔ̀ ‘many’)
  • WHITE: production of [f] and [u] + show of upper teeth (based on the Yoruba word fufū ‘white’)
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Sign language phonology

To analyze phonological regularities in spoken language, we broke down articulatory parameters into features.

• E.g., place = [±coronal], [±velar], [±anterior], [±labial], ...

We can do the same with sign language articulatory parameters:

• E.g., handshape = [±thumb], [±bent], [±ulnar], [±one], ...
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We have seen an example of a regular phonological process that happens in natural language, **assimilation**, when a segment becomes similar to an adjacent segment.

• E.g., nasal place assimilation in English:

  intangible  /n/ → [n]
  intolerant
  impossible  /n/ → [m]
  implausible

Rule: /n/ → [+labial] / __ [+labial]
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Assimilation happens in sign language, too.

• E.g., handshape assimilation in ASL:

RED + CHOP = TOMATO

THINK + SELF = THINK-FOR-ONESELF

Full assimilation (the whole handshape)

Partial assimilation (only one feature)
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Non-segmental non-manual markers

We have already seen that non-manuals can be phonemic. **Non-segmental non-manuals** have several functions in sign language, e.g.:

- grammatical markers (marking negation, clause types, topics, focus, etc.); can be compared to intonation in spoken language:
  
  _hn_________________br

  (1) IX-a LIKE COFFEE IX-a  
      ‘S/he likes coffee.’
  
  (2) IX-a LIKE COFFEE IX-a  
      ‘Does s/he like coffee?’

- adverbial modifiers (slowly, sloppily, etc.); no counterpart in spoken language proper:
  
  _______th

  (3) XI-a WRITE
      ‘S/he is writing.’
  
  (4) IX-a WRITE
      ‘S/he is writing sloppily.’
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We have already seen that sign language can have compounds (e.g., THINK-FOR-ONESELF in ASL).

We have also seen that in sign language you can produce signals with two independent articulators simultaneously (as in non-manual adverbial modifiers).

But, although the two hands are independent articulators, we never see simultaneous, two-handed compounds in sign language.

• E.g., in ASL, PARENTS = MOTHER + FATHER, produced in succession, not simultaneously with two hands.
Sign language morphology

However, compounds can consist of a fully non-manual sign and a manual sign produced simultaneously.

- E.g., in Brazilian Sign Language:
  - SEX: cheek puff
  - HOTEL: a manual sign
  - TRAVEL: a manual sign
  - MOTEL = SEX + HOTEL
  - HONEYMOON = SEX + TRAVEL

So, simultaneous morphology is possible in sign language, but it is phonologically constrained.
Sign language morphology

**Incorporated numerals** are another example of simultaneous morphology in sign language.

- E.g., in ASL:
  - 1, 2, …, 9 + WEEK/MONTH/YEAR/etc. = 1, 2, …, 9 WEEKS/MONTHS/YEARS/etc.

Why can you only incorporate numerals up to 9?

In RSL you can only incorporate numerals up to 5. Why?
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Sign language syntax

Like spoken languages, sign languages have their own syntactic rules. E.g., let’s look at some aspects of the syntax of ASL where it’s different from that of English.

One such difference is that ASL allows much more scrambling, i.e., non-obligatory movement. E.g., it’s standardly assumed that the default word order in ASL is SVO, but OSV or SOV orders are also possible due to freer topicalization (in that respect ASL is more like, e.g., Russian):

(5) a. I like coffee.  
   b. %Coffee, I like.  
   c. *I coffee like.

(6) a. IX-1 LIKE COFFEE  
   b. COFFEE IX-1 LIKE  
   c. IX-1 COFFEE LIKE
Sign language syntax

We have already seen that yes/no questions in ASL don’t involve any movement (they are marked non-manually).

In wh-questions in ASL the wh-phrase is typically on the right (or is sometimes doubled on the left and on the right) and is marked by lowered eyebrows:

___bl

(7) (WHO) LIKE COFFEE WHO

‘Who likes coffee?’

What, do you think, is going on here?
Sign language syntax

We have seen instances of *synchronicity* in sign language phonetics and morphology.

We have also seen how the hands and the mouth can be used as simultaneous articulators in sign language syntax—remember non-manual adverbial modifiers?

The two hands can also be used as simultaneous articulators in sign language syntax, e.g.:

(8) **CAT TABLE LH:CL-FLAT-HOLD**

          **RH:CL-SMALL-ANIMAL-ON-LH**

‘A cat is sitting on a table.’
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(8) also shows how sign language uses space to iconically represent the spatial relationship between two individuals.

Space is used in a more abstract way in sign language, too.

All studied sign languages use space to establish anaphoric links between pronouns and their antecedents.

In spoken languages pronouns are sometimes ambiguous; *he* could refer to Harry or Ron (or even someone else we mentioned before):

(9) \( \text{Harry}_i \text{ told Ron}_j \text{ that he}_i/j/k \text{ is smart.} \)

We use subscripts, or indices, to indicate an anaphoric relation.
Pronouns in sign language

Sign languages can famously disambiguate sentences like (9) by assigning referents to areas of space, called loci (plural of locus), and then pointing back to them.

An example from ASL:

(10) HARRY IX-a TELL-b RON_b (IX-b) IX-a SMART
     ‘Harry\textsubscript{i} told Ron\textsubscript{j} that he\textsubscript{i/*j/*k} [= Harry] is smart.’

(11) HARRY IX-a TELL-b RON\textsubscript{b} (IX-b) IX-b SMART
     ‘Harry\textsubscript{i} told Ron\textsubscript{j} that he\textsubscript{*i/j/*k} [= Ron] is smart.’

(NB: I took the name signs for HP characters from here: http://bit.ly/1uZWBhT)
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Pointing to loci in sign language can be used not only to express simple **co-reference**, but also **binding**.

In (12) *they* is bound by *every student*, because the referent of *they* co-varies with students:

(12) [Every student]_i thinks [he or she]_i is smart.
    = Every x is such that if x is a student, x is smart.

(13) has the same interpretation as (12):
(13) EVERY STUDENT IX-a THINK IX-a SMART
Pronouns in sign language

Here we have only used indices as a notational convention. They have also been posited as a formal tool to analyze anaphora. But we don’t hear them in spoken language.

The way sign languages use space to encode anaphoric relationships has led some researchers to claim that loci are overt instantiations of indices (although there has been some pushback on/refinement of those claims recently).
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Apart from its synchronicity and use of space, sign language differs from spoken language in how much it relies on **iconicity**, i.e., similarity between the form of a linguistic expression and its meaning.

There is some iconicity in spoken language. Can you think of any examples?

- onomatopoeia: *meow, splash*, etc.
- iconic prosodic modulations (e.g., vowel lengthening, high/low pitch when talking about small/large objects):

  (14) The lecture was looooooong.
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Sign language has plenty of lexical iconicity.
  • E.g., in ASL: TREE, BIRD, LOOK-AT, etc.

But iconicity also permeates the grammar of the language. For example, the use of space in sign language is often highly iconic.

We will now briefly look at another example of grammatical iconicity in sign language.
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Telicity is an important property of natural language predicates.

• **Telic predicates** describe events that have a point of culmination:
  • e.g., sneeze, swim a mile, fly to Hogsmeade
  • are not divisible: if there is an event of Ginny swimming a mile whose runtime is from 10am to 10:10am, it’s not true that there is an event of Ginny swimming a mile whose runtime is from 10am to 10:05am
  • (15) Ginny swam a mile **in/**for ten minutes.

• **Atelic predicates** describe events that happen over time with no culmination:
  • e.g., sleep, swim laps, fly towards Hogsmeade
  • are divisible: if Ginny swam laps from 10am to 10:10am, she also swam laps from 10am to 10:05am
  • (16) Ginny swam laps **for/**in ten minutes.
Event visibility hypothesis

Telicity is a property of predicates, not lexical items (although there can be lexical constraints on telicity).

The same verb can participate both in telic and atelic predicates:

(17) Luna sneezed once. (telic, one punctual event)
(18) Luna sneezed for ten minutes. (atelic, multiple punctual events)
(19) Hermione brew a potion in an hour. (telic, one durative event that reached completion)
(20) Hermione was brewing a potion. (atelic, one durative event that didn’t reach completion)
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Can you guess which of the following ASL predicates are telic and which are atelic? How would you change the telic predicates to coerce them into an atelic interpretation?

DIE
PLAY
WAIT
DECIDE
Event visibility hypothesis

Event visibility hypothesis: in the sign language predicate system, the semantics of the event structure is visible in the phonological form of the predicate sign.

Two components of this hypothesis (there are more):

• A phonological path movement maps to the runtime of a durative event.

• Each phonological end-point maps to the culmination of a telic event.

How does this hypothesis explain your intuitions about the ASL predicates in the previous slide?
What is sign language?
Sign language phonetics
Sign language phonology
Non-segmental non-manual markers
Sign language morphology
Sign language syntax
Pronouns in sign language
Event visibility hypothesis

What you need to know
What you need to know

Key notions:
• languages in two modalities: spoken vs. sign language, modality
• sign language-specific phenomena: synchronicity, use of space, iconicity
• phonemic parameters of a sign: handshape, location, orientation, movement, mouth gestures, mouthing
• linguistic phenomena: assimilation, incorporated numerals, scrambling, anaphora (pronouns and antecedents, indices, co-reference, binding), telicity (telic vs. atelic predicates)
• other: non-segmental non-manuals, loci, event visibility hypothesis

Answers to the following questions:
• What are some of the most pervasive myths about sign language? How are they false?
• What are some examples of phonological constraints on morphological processes in sign language?
• What are some examples of ASL syntax being different from English syntax?

Skills:
• Given descriptions or pictures/videos of two or more signs, be able to say if they constitute a minimal tuple phonologically, and which parameters are the same/different across them.